USPAS Accelerator Physics 2019 Northern Illinois University and UT-Batelle

Octupoles, Detuning, and Slow Extraction

Todd Satogata (Jefferson Lab and ODU) / satogata@jlab.org
Steve Peggs (BNL) / peggs@bnl.gov


Bhawin Dhital (ODU) / bdhit001@odu.edu and Kiel Hock (BNL) / khock@bnl.gov http://www.toddsatogata.net/2019-USPAS


Happy Birthday to Elijah Wood, Sarah McLachlan, Alan Alda, and Jackson Pollack! Happy National Blueberry Pancake Day, Data Privacy Day, and National Kazoo Day!




Spring Break Adventures: McKay's







http://www.mckaybooks.com





Overview (Afternoon)

- Useful nonlinearities
- Octupoles and detuning
- Discrete motion in (J,φ) space
 - Difference Hamiltonian
 - More lecturer self-indulgence
- Motion near half-integer tunes
 - Contours of constant Hamiltonian (energy)
- Half-integer slow extraction
 - A useful application of first-order octupole perturbation theory
- Extending to third-integer extraction
- Modern use: resonance island extraction at CERN



Useful Nonlinarities

- Catch-22 revisited
 - Nonlinearities are unavoidable in accelerators
 - Nonlinearities can correct motion to a degree
 - Nonlinearities add higher "order" nonlinear behavior
 - But nonlinarities can be used for good!
 - Octupoles introduce new first-order behavior



Sponsors:

Northern Illinois University and UT-Battelle


Course Name:


Integrable Particle Dynamics in Accelerators

Instructor:

Sergei Nagaitsev and Timofey Zolkin, Fermilab

This USPAS session!








1D Single Octupole Kick


 (x_p, x'_p) : physical coordinates

(x, x'): normalized coordinates


$$\begin{pmatrix} x_p \\ x_p' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\beta} & 0 \\ -\alpha/\sqrt{\beta} & 1/\sqrt{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}$$

inverse Floquet transformation, book Equation 3.22





$$\Delta x_p' = -g_p x_p^3$$



$$\Delta x_p' = -g_p x_p^3$$
 $g_p \equiv \frac{B'''L}{B\rho}$ (be careful)

Linear 1D lattice with single octupole kick

$$\Delta x' = -gx^3 \qquad g \equiv g_p \beta^2$$

1D Single Octupole Detuning and Resonances

$$\Delta x' = -gx^3 \qquad g \equiv g_p \beta^2$$

 Use the normalized phase space figure (using similar triangles) or Hamiltonians to show that

$$\Delta \phi = ga^{2} \sin^{4}(\phi)$$

$$= ga^{2} \left(\frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\phi) + \frac{1}{8} \cos(4\phi) \right)$$

Amplitude-dependent detuning: doesn't depend on phase!

Resonant driving: periodic in betatron phase ϕ

Useful (?) trick:

$$\sin^{n}(\phi) = \left(\frac{e^{i\phi} - e^{-i\phi}}{2i}\right)^{n} = \frac{1}{(2i)^{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (-1)^{(m+1)} (e^{i\phi})^{n-m} (e^{-i\phi})^{m}$$

binomial expansion



Octupole Detuning Amplitude Dependence

- $\Delta \phi$ is an additional phase advance every turn
 - Dependent on amplitude a but not dependent on phase ϕ
- This is fundamentally a shift in the tune
 - Base (small-amplitude) tune is defined to be Q₀
 - Tune of particles at amplitude a from octupoles is

$$Q = Q_0 + \frac{3}{16\pi}ga^2$$

- ullet Nicely first order in octupole strength g
- Turns out to be first order for quadrupoles, octupoles, dodecapoles, ... (you can see the pattern; dodecapole homework)
 - (Second order in nonlinearity strength for sextupoles, decapoles, ...)



10.2: Discrete Motion in (J,φ) Space

• Using action-angle space where $J \equiv a^2/2$

$$Q = Q_0 + \frac{3}{8\pi}gJ$$

We can work out the general behavior in action along with phase to find general time evolution for wellbehaved particles:


$$J_t = J_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \cos(k\phi_t + \phi_k)$$
 (10.10)

$$\phi_t = \phi_0 + 2\pi Q t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k \cos(k 2\pi Q t + \theta_k)$$

 $u_k, v_k, \phi_k, \theta_k$ depend on nonlinearities J no longer constant



10.2: Discrete Motion in (J,φ) Space



 Recall Steve's comments on "smear", and Collins distortion functions (T.L. Collins, FNAL report 84/114)





What is Really Happening Here?

 Tune varies with amplitude depending on nonlinearity

$$Q = Q_0 + \frac{3}{8\pi}gJ$$
 for octupoles

 When Q₀ is near resonance, particles with amplitude a_{resonance} have resonant tunes





 $Q_0=n/m+\delta Q$ – not resonant

One-Turn Discrete "Hamiltonian"

 KAM surfaces suggest that we can write a "conserved" quantity and apply Hamiltonian dynamics to our discrete dynamical system

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial J}$$
 $\Delta J = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial \phi}$

Here H₁ is a "one-turn" discrete Hamiltonian. More generally we can include all nonlinearities:

$$H_1 = 2\pi (Q_{x0} J_x + Q_{y0} J_y) + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} J_x^{i/2} J_y^{j/2} \sin(k\phi_x + l\phi_y + \phi_{ijkl})$$
(10.14)

Amplitude – dependent detuning when k = l = 0, i and/or $j \neq 0$



Motion Near Half-Integer Tunes

$$H_1 = 2\pi (Q_{x0} J_x + Q_{y0} J_y) + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} J_x^{i/2} J_y^{j/2} \sin(k\phi_x + l\phi_y + \phi_{ijkl})$$
(10.14)

- One-turn maps from the one-turn "Hamiltonian" are still pretty jumpy
 - The fractional part of the tunes can be big even if everything else is perturbatively small
- But we can integrate the above equation and handwave an "N-turn" map
 - Near Q=k/N values, the phase advance is nearly 2π
 - All motion in N turns becomes perturbatively small



Motion Near Half-Integer Tunes

$$H_{1} = 2\pi (Q_{x0} J_{x} + Q_{y0} J_{y}) + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} J_{x}^{i/2} J_{y}^{j/2} \sin(k\phi_{x} + l\phi_{y} + \phi_{ijkl})$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} + \delta Q \qquad \delta Q \ll 1$$
(10.14)

$$H_2 = 2\pi \delta Q J + \left[\frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\phi) + \frac{1}{8} \cos(4\phi) \right] g J^2$$

or more generally, in the presence of many octupoles

$$H_2 = 2\pi \, \delta Q \, J + \left[V_0 + V_2 \cos(2\phi + \phi_2) + V_4 \cos(4\phi + \phi_4) \right] \, J^2$$

Tune difference octupole from 1/2 amplitud

octupole amplitude dependent detuning


Half-integer resonance driving

Quarter-integer resonance driving



Motion Near Half-Integer Tunes: Figs 10.3-4

Normalised displacement, x



Normalised horizontal angle, x'

Can be used for slow extraction



Entire USPAS courses on injection/extraction

http://uspas.fnal.gov/programs/2017/niu/courses/injection-extraction.shtml

Injection and Extraction of Beams

Instructor:

Uli Wienands, Argonne National Lab and Edu Marin-Lacoma, CERN

Purpose and Audience

This course provides an introduction to the physics and design of ring injection and extraction systems. Proton, ion, and electron systems will be covered. The course is appropriate for anyone with some background in accelerator physics and technology and with an interest in injection and extraction of beams, including operational staff.

http://uspas.fnal.gov/materials/17NIU/niu-injection-and-extraction.shtml

Course Materials - NIU - June 2017

Injection and Extraction of Beams


course given by Uli Wienands, Argonne National Lab and Edu Marin-Lacoma, CERN


Updated pdf of the lecture hand-outs: Accelerator Injection and Extraction

Zipped archive of the Mad-X and Python scripts for the Mad-X injection-design exercise: MADX Exercise files.zip (Windows and Linux users can ignore the _MACOSX folder that will be there after unzipping the file.)



Half-Integer Slow Extraction





N+1

Resonance Islands Revisited

- Todd's dissertation: E778 in the Fermilab Tevatron
- 5th order resonance islands driven to "second order" in sextupole strength
- Modern usage: resonance island extraction at CERN (Gioavanozzi slides)

