#### USPAS Accelerator Physics 2024 Hampton VA / Northern Illinois University

#### **Chapter 6+: Magnets and Magnet Technology**

Todd Satogata (Jefferson Lab and ODU) / <u>satogata@jlab.org</u> Steve Peggs (BNL) / <u>peggs@bnl.gov</u> Medani Sangroula (BNL) / <u>msangroul@bnl.gov</u> and Alex Coxe / <u>alexcoxe@jlab.org</u> <u>http://www.toddsatogata.net/2024-USPAS</u>

Happy Birthday to Michio Kaku, Dan Shechtman (Nobel 2011, quasicrystals), and John Belushi! Happy Beer Can Appreciation Day, National Compliment Day, and National Peanut Butter Day!



### **Overview**

- Back to Maxwell
  - Parameterizing fields in accelerator magnets
  - Symmetries, comments about magnet construction
- Relating currents and fields
  - Equipotentials and contours, dipoles and quadrupoles
  - Thin magnet kicks and that ubiquitous rigidity
  - Complications: hysteresis, end fields
- More details about dipoles
  - Sector and rectangular bends; edge focusing
- Extras: Superconducting magnets
  - RHIC, LHC, etc

#### **Other References**

- Magnet design and a construction is a specialized field all its own
  - Electric, Magnetic, Electromagnetic modeling
    - 2D, 3D, static vs dynamic
  - Materials science
    - Conductors, superconductors, ferrites, superferrites
  - Measurements and mapping
    - e.g. g-2 experiment: 1 PPM field uniformity, 14m SC dipole
- Entire CERN accelerator school courses have been given on just magnet design
  - https://indico.cern.ch/event/1227234/ (Nov-Dec 2023)



#### g-2 magnet



4

**USPAS Accelerator Physics** 



T. Satogata / January 2024

Jefferson Lab

### **EM/Maxwell Review I**

Recall our relativistic Lorentz force

$$\frac{d(\gamma m \vec{v})}{dt} = q \left( \vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right)$$

- For large γ common in accelerators, transverse magnetic fields are much more effective for changing particle momenta
- Can mostly separate E (RF, septa, DC sources) and B (DC or adiabatically-ramping magnets)
  - Some exceptions, e.g. plasma wakefields, betatrons, RFQs
- Easiest/simplest: magnets with constant B field
  - Constant-strength optics

terson Lab

- Most varying B field accelerator magnets change field so slowly that E fields are negligible
- Consistent with our constant-field assumptions



#### EM/Maxwell Review II

• Maxwell's Equations for  $\vec{B}, \vec{H}$  and magnetization  $\vec{M}$  are

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$
  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \vec{j} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \vec{j}$   $\vec{H} \equiv \vec{B}/\mu - \vec{M}$ 

• A magnetic vector potential  $\vec{A}$  exists

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$
 since  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = 0$ 

- Transverse 2D ( $B_z=H_z=0$ ), paraxial approx ( $p_{x,y} << p_0$ )
- Away from magnet coils (  $\vec{j} = 0, \ \vec{M} = 0$  )
  - Simple homogeneous differential equations for fields

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$



#### **Parameterizing Solutions**



- What are solutions to these equations?
  - Constant field:  $\vec{B} = B_x x^0 \hat{x} + B_y y^0 \hat{y}$ 
    - Dipole fields, usually either only  $B_x$  or  $B_y$
    - 360 degree (2π) rotational "symmetry"
  - First order field:  $\vec{B} = (B_{xx}x + B_{xy}y)\hat{x} + (B_{yx}x + B_{yy}y)\hat{y}$ 
    - Maxwell reduces 4 vars to 2:  $B_s = B_{xx} = -B_{yy}$  and  $B_n = B_{xy} = B_{yx}$

$$\vec{B} = B_n(x\hat{y} + y\hat{x}) + B_s(x\hat{x} - y\hat{y})$$

- Quadrupole fields, either normal B<sub>n</sub> or skew B<sub>s</sub>
- 180 degree ( $\pi$ ) rotational symmetry
- 90 degree rotation interchanges normal/skew
- Higher order…





# Sextupole and skew sextupole n=3







8

T. Satogata / January 2024

Jefferson Lab

#### **Visualizing Dipole and Quadrupole Fields II**



#### LEP quadrupole field

LHC dipole: B<sub>v</sub> gives horizontal bending

LHC

field

dipole

Jefferson Lab

- LEP quadrupole: B<sub>y</sub> on x axis, B<sub>x</sub> on y axis
  - Horizontal focusing=vertical defocusing or vice-versa
  - No coupling between horizontal/vertical motion
    - Note the nice "harmonic" field symmetries



#### **General Multipole Field Expansions**

- Rotational symmetries, cylindrical coordinates
  - Power series in radius r with angular harmonics in  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$x = r \cos \theta \qquad y = r \sin \theta$$
$$B_y = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \left(b_n \cos n\theta - a_n \sin n\theta\right)$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r)^n$$

$$B_x = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \left(a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta\right)$$

terson Lab



- Need "reference radius" a (to get units right)
- (b<sub>n</sub>,a<sub>n</sub>) are called (normal,skew) multipole coefficients
- We can also write this succinctly using de Moivre as

$$B_x - iB_y = B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n - ib_n) \left(\frac{x + iy}{a}\right)^n$$





### (But Do These Equations Solve Maxwell?)

Yes 
 Convert Maxwell's eqns to cylindrical coords

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial (\rho B_\rho)}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial B_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial (\rho B_\theta)}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial B_\rho}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

Aligning r along the x-axis it's easy enough to see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

In general it's (much, much) more tedious but it works

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta}, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{-1}{r \sin \theta}, \ \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta}, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{r \cos \theta}$$
$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \dots$$

Jefferson Lab



#### **Multipoles**

 $(b,a)_n$  "unit" is 10<sup>-4</sup> (natural scale)  $(b,a)_n$  (US) =  $(b,a)_{n+1}$ 

coefficient	$\mathbf{multipole}$	field	notes
$b_0$	normal dipole	$B_y = B_0 b_0$	horz. bending
$a_0$	skew dipole	$B_x = B_0 a_0$	vert. bending
$b_1$	normal quadrupole	$B_x = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)b_1\sin\theta = B_0\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)b_1$ $B_y = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)b_1\cos\theta = B_0\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)b_1$	focusing defocusing
a <sub>1</sub>	skew quadrupole	$B_x = B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)a_1\cos\theta = B_0\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)a_1$ $B_y = -B_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)a_1\sin\theta = -B_0\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)a_1$	coupling
$b_2$	normal sextupole	$B_x = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 b_2 \sin(2\theta)$ $B_y = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 b_1 \cos(2\theta)$	nonlinear!







12

T. Satogata / January 2024

### **Multipole Symmetries**

- Dipole has 2π rotation symmetry (or π upon current reversal)
- Quad has  $\pi$  rotation symmetry (or  $\pi/2$  upon current reversal)
- k-pole has 2π/k rotation symmetry upon current reversal
- We try to enforce symmetries in design/construction
  - Limits permissible magnet errors
  - Higher order fields that obey main field symmetry are called allowed multipoles



#### **Multipole Symmetries II**

- So a dipole (n=0, 2 poles) has allowed multipoles:
  - Sextupole (n=2, 6 poles), Decapole (n=4, 10 poles)...
- A quadrupole (n=1, 4 poles) has allowed multipoles:
  - Dodecapole (n=5, 12 poles), Twenty-pole (n=9, 20 poles)...
- General allowed multipoles: (2k+1)(n+1)-1
  - Or, more conceptually, (3,5,7,...) times number of poles
- Other multipoles are forbidden by symmetries
  - Smaller than allowed multipoles, but no magnets are perfect
    - Large measured forbidden multipoles mean fabrication or fundamental design problems!
- Better magnet pole face quality with punched laminations
  - Dynamics are usually dominated by lower-order multipoles



### **Equipotentials and Contours**

- Let's get around to designing some magnets
  - Conductors on outside, field on inside
  - Use high-permeability iron to shape fields: iron-dominated
    - Pole faces are very nearly equipotentials
    - We work with a magnetostatic *scalar* potential  $\Psi$
    - B, H field lines are perpendicular to equipotential lines of  $\Psi$

$$\vec{H} = \vec{\nabla} \Psi$$

 $\Psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a}{n+1} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{n+1} \left[F_n \cos((n+1)\theta) + G_n \sin((n+1)\theta)\right]$ where  $G_n \equiv B_0 b_n / \mu_0, F_n \equiv B_0 a_n / \mu_0$ 

> This comes from integrating our B field expansion. Let's look at normal multipoles  $G_n$  and pole faces...

#### **Equipotentials and Contours II**

For general G<sub>n</sub> normal multipoles (i.e. for b<sub>n</sub>)

 $\Psi(\text{equipotential for } b_n) \propto r^{n+1} \sin[(n+1)\theta] = \text{constant}$ 

- Dipole (n=0):  $\Psi(\text{dipole}) \propto r \sin \theta = y$ 
  - Normal dipole pole faces are y=constant
- Quadrupole (n=1):

terson Lab

- $\Psi$ (quadrupole)  $\propto r^2 \sin(2\theta) = 2xy$
- Normal quadrupole pole faces are xy=constant (hyperbolic)
- So what conductors and currents are needed to generate these fields?



17



USPAS Accelerator Physics

### **E&M Tools and Reminders** (Wikipedia)

The magnetic  $\mathbf{H}$  field is defined:<sup>[10]:269[11]:192[1]:ch36</sup>

Definition of the H field (vector form, SI units)  $\mathbf{H} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M}$ 

terson Lab

Alternative names for H<sup>[7]</sup>

- Magnetic field intensity<sup>[8]</sup>
- Magnetic field strength<sup>[4]:139</sup>
- Magnetic field
- Magnetizing field
- Auxiliary magnetic field

where  $\mu_0$  is the vacuum permeability, and M is the magnetization vector. In a vacuum, **B** and **H** are proportional to each other. Inside a material they are different (see H and B inside and outside magnetic materials). The SI unit of the H-field is the ampere per metre (A/m),<sup>[14]</sup> and the CGS unit is the oersted (Oe).<sup>[12][9]:286</sup>

- *Extremely* common to call **B** the magnetic field (really flux density)
- Fields that (our) particles experience in vacuum chambers  $\dot{\mathbf{B}} = \mu_0 \dot{\mathbf{H}}$
- Ampere's law relates currents and fields

$$I_{\text{enclosed}} = \oint \vec{\mathbf{H}} \cdot d\vec{l} \qquad \mu_0 I_{\text{enclosed}} = \oint \vec{\mathbf{B}} \cdot d\vec{l}$$

Permanent magnets get more complicated: magnetization  ${f M}$ 



### **Dipole Field/Current**

 Use Ampere's law to calculate field in gap

Jefferson Lab

- N "turns" of conductor around each pole
- Each turn of conductor carries current I



(C-style dipole)

 $\Delta x'$ 

19

- Field integral is through N-S poles and (highly permeable) iron (including return path)  $2NI = \oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l} = 2aH \implies H = \frac{NI}{a}, B = \frac{\mu_0 NI}{a}$
- NI is in "Amp-turns",  $\mu_0 \sim 1.257$  cm-G/A
  - So a=2cm, B=600G requires NI~955 Amp-turns



 $\frac{BL}{Bo}$ 

#### Wait, What's That $\Delta x'$ Equation?

 $\Delta x' = \frac{BL}{(B\rho)} \longleftarrow \text{Field, length: Properties of magnet} \\ \longleftarrow \text{Rigidity: property of beam} \text{ (really p/q!)}$ 

- This is the angular transverse kick from a thin hardedge dipole, like a dipole corrector
  - Really a change in p<sub>x</sub> but paraxial approximation applies
  - The B in (Bρ) is not necessarily the main dipole B
  - The ρ in (Bρ) is not necessarily the ring circumference/2π
  - And neither is related to this particular dipole kick!



#### **Quadrupole Field/Current**



#### **Quadrupole Transport Matrix**

Paraxial equations of motion for constant quadrupole field

$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + kx = 0 \qquad \frac{d^2y}{ds^2} - ky = 0 \qquad s \equiv \beta ct$$
$$k \equiv \frac{B'}{(B\rho)} = \frac{2\mu_0 NI}{a^2} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$$

Integrating over a magnet of length L gives (exactly)

Focusing Quadrupole

Defocusing Quadrupole

Jefferson Lab



#### Thin Quadrupole Transport Matrix

Focusing  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(L\sqrt{k}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sin(L\sqrt{k}) \\ -\sqrt{k}\sin(L\sqrt{k}) & \cos(L\sqrt{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_F \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x'_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Defocusing  $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(L\sqrt{k}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sinh(L\sqrt{k}) \\ \sqrt{k}\sinh(L\sqrt{k}) & \cosh(L\sqrt{k}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_D \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y'_0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- Quadrupoles are often "thin"
  - Focal length is much longer than magnet length
- Then we can use the thin-lens approximation  $L\sqrt{k} \ll 1$

Thin quadrupole approximation

Jefferson Lab

$$\mathbf{M}_{F,D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \mp kL & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \mp \frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where f=1/(kL) is the quadrupole focal length











Watch the quadrupole motion carefully Large focusing effect; small defocusing effect



26

Jefferson Lab

#### **Higher Orders**

• We can follow the full expansion for 2(n+1)-pole:

$$\Psi_n = NI\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{n+1}\sin((n+1)\theta)$$

 $H_x = (n+1)\frac{NI}{a}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \sin n\theta \qquad H_y = (n+1)\frac{NI}{a}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n\theta$ 

For the sextupole (n=2) we find the nonlinear field as

$$\vec{B} = \frac{3\mu_0 NI}{a^3} [2xy\hat{x} + (x^2 + y^2)\hat{y}]$$

Now define a strength as an n<sup>th</sup> derivative

efferson Lab

$$B'' \equiv \frac{\partial^2 B_y}{\partial x^2}|_{y=0} = \frac{6\mu_0 NI}{a^3} \qquad \Delta x' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{B'' L}{(B\rho)} (x^2 + y^2)$$

(NB: Be careful, ' has different meaning in B', B'', B'''...) T. Satogata / January 2024 USPAS Accelerator Physics



#### **Hysteresis**

- Magnets with variable current carry "memory" Hysteresis is quite important in irondominated magnets
- Usually try to run magnets "on hysteresis"

Jefferson Lab

e.g. always on one side of hysteresis loop Large spread at large field (1+ T): saturation Degaussing





28

**USPAS** Accelerator Physics

### **End Fields**

- Magnets are not infinitely long: ends are important!
  - Conductors: where coils usually come in and turn around
  - Longitudinal symmetries break down
  - Sharp corners on iron are first areas to saturate
  - Usually a concern over distances of ±1-2 times magnet gap
    - A big deal for short, large-aperture magnets; ends dominate!
- Solution: simulate... a lot
  - Test prototypes too

efferson Lab

- Quadratic end chamfer eases sextupoles from ends (first allowed harmonic of dipole)
- More on dipole end focusing...



PEFP prototype magnet (Korea) 9 cm gap,1.4m long



USPAS Accelerator Physics

#### **Dipoles, Sector and Rectangular Bends**

- Sector bend (sbend)
  - Beam design entry/exit angles are perpendicular to end faces



- Simpler to conceptualize, but harder to build
- Rectangular bend (rbend)

efferson Lab

Beam design entry/exit angles are half of bend angle



Easier to build, but must include effects of edge focusing



#### **Sector Bend Transport Matrix**



#### The dipole "rotation" that we see in phase space movies



Has all the "right" behaviors

Jetterson Lab

But what about edge focusing and "rectangular" bends?



#### Flashback: Modern Isochronous Cyclotrons

- $f_{\rm rf} = \frac{qB(\rho)}{2\pi\gamma(\rho)m}$ Higher bending field at higher energies
  - But also introduces vertical defocusing
  - Use bending magnet "edge focusing"  $B_{\rho} > 0$  for y > 0(Weds magnet lecture)



590 MeV PSI Isochronous Cyclotron (1974)

Jefferson Lab

T. Satogata / January 2024



250 MeV PSI Isochronous Cyclotron (2004)

**USPAS** Accelerator Physics





- We treat general case of symmetric dipole end angles
  - Superposition: looks like wedges on end of sector dipole
  - Rectangular bends are a special case

Jefferson Lab



#### **Kick from a Thin Wedge**

 The edge focusing calculation requires the kick from a thin wedge

$$\Delta x' = \frac{B_z L}{(B\rho)}$$
What is L? (distance in wedge)  

$$\tan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \frac{L/2}{x}$$

$$L = 2x \tan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \approx x \tan \alpha$$
So  $\Delta x' = \frac{B_z \tan \alpha}{(B\rho)} x = \frac{\tan \alpha}{\rho} x$ 

Here  $\rho$  is the curvature for a particle of this momentum!!

Jefferson Lab



#### **Dipole Matrix with Ends**

The matrix of a dipole with thick ends is then

$$M_{\text{sector dipole}}(x, x', \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \rho \sin \theta & \rho(1 - \cos \theta) \\ -\frac{1}{\rho} \sin \theta & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M_{\text{end lens}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\tan \alpha}{\rho} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $M_{\rm edge-focused\,dipole} = M_{\rm end\,lens} M_{\rm sector\,dipole} M_{\rm end\,lens}$ 

$$M_{\text{edge-focused dipole}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos(\theta - \alpha)}{\cos \alpha} & \rho \sin \theta & \rho(1 - \cos \theta) \\ -\frac{\sin(\theta - 2\alpha)}{\rho \cos^2 \alpha} & \frac{\cos(\theta - \alpha)}{\cos \alpha} & \frac{\sin(\theta - \alpha) + \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Rectangular bend is special case where  $\alpha = \theta/2$ 

Jefferson Lab









Two problems:

Jefferson Lab

- Injection field too low (should be at least 3x higher)
- Overall rigidity range of 50x is too aggressive (usually 10-20)
  - Good dipole field quality over this range is a "technical risk"

🎯 📢

## **Application: EIC Rapid Cycling Synchrotron**

Idea: Split into three dipoles (two "families"/strengths)



injection: only center dipole on; other dipoles off top energy: all dipoles on equally entrance/exit angles kept constant for all energies

- Raises field of center dipole by x3 at injection ☺
- Center dipole does not get too strong at top energy ③
- Q: What are two challenges with this approach?



## **Application: EIC Rapid Cycling Synchrotron**

Idea: Split into three dipoles (two "families"/strengths)



injection: only center dipole on; other dipoles off top energy: all dipoles on equally entrance/exit angles kept constant for all energies

- Raises field of center dipole by x3 at injection ☺
- Center dipole does not get too strong at top energy ③
- Pathlength (and f<sub>rev</sub>) changes with energy <sup>(3)</sup>
- Dipole edge focusing/optics change with energy ext{B}



#### **Other Familiar Dipoles**





- Weaker, cheaper, faster dipoles can be made by conforming coils to a beam-pipe (no iron)
- Relatively inexpensive, but not very precise
  - Field quality on the order of ~1e-2 (vs ~1e-4 for iron)
- Often used for "fast" response

Jefferson Lab

~1 kHz orbit "fast feedback" in CEBAF Hall D transport





#### **Normal vs Superconducting Magnets**





#### LEP quadrupole magnet

NC

Jefferson Lab

LHC dipole magnets (SC)

 Note high field strengths (red) where flux lines are densely packed together

USPAS Accelerator Physics



#### **RHIC Dipole/Quadrupole Cross Sections**



RHIC cos(θ)-style superconducting magnets and yokes
NbTi in Cu stabilizer, iron yokes, saturation holes
Full field design strength is up to 20 MPa (3 kpsi)
4.5 K, 3.45 Tesla

Jefferson Lab





Superconducting cables: NbTi in Cu matrix

Jefferson Lab

- Single 5 µm filament at 6T carries ~50 mA of current
- Strand has 5-10k filaments, or carries 250-500 A
- Magnet currents are often 5-10 kA: 10-40 strands in cable
  - Balance of stresses, compactable to stable high density







#### **Superconducting Magnet Transfer Function**



Persistent currents: surface currents during magnet ramping

T. Satogata / January 2024

Jefferson Lab

Luca Bottura, CERN **USPAS** Accelerator Physics



# Quenching Magnetic stored energy $E = \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0}$ $B = 5 \text{ T}, \quad E = 10^7 \text{ J/m}^3$ LHC dipole $E = \frac{LI^2}{2}$ $L = 0.12 \,\mathrm{H}$ $I = 11.5 \,\mathrm{kA}$ $\Rightarrow E = 7.8 \times 10^6 \text{ J}$ 22 ton magnet $\Rightarrow$ Energy of 22 tons, v = 92 km/hr!

Jefferson Lab



Martin Wilson, JUAS Feb 2006 **USPAS** Accelerator Physics





efferson

#### Resistive region starts somewhere in the winding at a point: A problem!

- Cable/insulation slipping
- Inter-cable short; insulation failure
- Grows by thermal conduction
- Stored energy  $\frac{1}{2}LI^2$  of the magnet is dissipated as heat
- Greatest integrated heat dissipation is at localized point where the quench starts
- Internal voltages **much** greater than terminal voltage (=  $V_{cs}$ current supply)
  - Can profoundly damage magnet
  - Quench protection is important!

48

Martin Wilson, JUAS Feb 2006 **USPAS Accelerator Physics** 



January 2024

#### **Quench Training**

- Intentionally raising current until magnet quenches
  - Later quenches presumably occur at higher currents
    - Compacts conductors in cables, settles in stable position
  - Sometimes necessary to achieve operating current



"Energy Upgrade as Regards Quench Performance", W.W. MacKay and S. Tepikian

T. Satogata / January 2024

efferson Lab

USPAS Accelerator Physics



### **Direct-Wind Superconducting Magnets (BNL)**

- 6T Iron-free (superconducting)
- Solid state coolers (no Helium)
- Field containment (LC magnet)
- "Direct-wind" construction



World's first "direct wind" coil machine at BNL

Jefferson Lab



#### Linear Collider magnet

50



T. Satogata / January 2024

USPAS Accelerator Physics

#### **FELs: Wigglers and Undulators**



- Used to produce synchrotron radiation for FELs
  - Often rare earth permanent magnets in Halbach arrays
  - Adjust magnetic field intensity by moving array up/down
  - Undulators: produce nm wavelength FEL light from ~cm magnetic periods (γ<sup>2</sup> leverage in undulator equation)
    - Narrow band high spectral intensity
  - Wigglers: higher energy, lower flux, more like dipole synchrotron radiation
    - More about synchrotron light and FELs etc next week
    - LCLS: 130+m long undulator!

efferson Lab



#### **Feedback to Magnet Builders**

http://www.agsrhichome.bnl.gov/AP/ap\_notes/RHIC\_AP\_80.pdf

#### FEEDBACK BETWEEN ACCELERATOR PHYSICISTS AND MAGNET BUILDERS

S. PEGGS

Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

Submitted to the proceedings of the LHC Single Particle Dynamics Workshop, Montreux, 1996.

#### 1 PHILOSOPHY

efferson Lab

Our task is not to record history but to change it. K. Marx (paraphrased)

How should Accelerator Physicists set magnet error specifications? In a crude social model, they place tolerance limits on undesirable nonlinearities and errors (higher order harmonics, component alignments, et cetera). The Magnet Division then goes away for a suitably lengthy period of time, and comes back with a working magnet prototype that is reproduced in industry.

