

USPAS Accelerator Physics 2024

Hampton VA / Northern Illinois University

Chapter 10+

Octupoles, Detuning, and Slow Extraction

and some fun relevant EIC nonlinear dynamics (see reading/references)

Todd Satogata (Jefferson Lab and ODU) / satogata@jlab.org

Steve Peggs (BNL) / peggs@bnl.gov

Medani Sangroula (BNL) / msangroul@bnl.gov and Alex Coxe / alexcoxe@jlab.org

<http://www.toddsatogata.net/2024-USPAS>

Happy Birthday to Abdus Salam (1979 Nobel), Anton Chekhov, W.C. Fields, and Oprah!

Happy Freethinkers Day, Puzzle Day, National Corn Chip Day, and Curmudgeons Day!

Overview (Afternoon)

- Useful nonlinearities
- Octupoles and detuning
- Discrete motion in (J, ϕ) space
 - Difference Hamiltonian
 - More lecturer self-indulgence
- Motion near half-integer tunes
 - Contours of constant Hamiltonian (energy)
- Half-integer slow extraction
 - A useful application of first-order octupole perturbation theory
- Extending to third-integer extraction
- Modern use: resonance island extraction at CERN
 - RIJ: Resonance Island Transition Jump

Useful Nonlinearities

- Catch-22 revisited
 - Nonlinearities are unavoidable in accelerators
 - Nonlinearities can correct motion – to a degree
 - Nonlinearities add higher “order” nonlinear behavior
 - But nonlinearities can be used for good!
 - Octupoles introduce new “first-order” behavior



Integrable Particle Dynamics in Accelerators

Sponsors:

Northern Illinois University and UT-Battelle


Course Name:

Integrable Particle Dynamics in Accelerators

Instructor:

Sergei Nagaitsev and Timofey Zolkin, Fermilab

2019
USPAS
session!





10.1: 1D Single Octupole Kick

(x_p, x'_p) : physical coordinates
 (x, x') : normalized coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_p \\ x'_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\beta} & 0 \\ -\alpha/\sqrt{\beta} & 1/\sqrt{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}$$

inverse Floquet transformation, book Equation 3.22

$$M = I \cos(2\pi Q_0) + J \sin(2\pi Q_0)$$



$$\Delta x'_p = -g_p x_p^3 \quad g_p \equiv \frac{B'''' L}{B\rho} \quad (\text{be careful})$$

Taylor vs Power
 Everyone vs CERN ☺

- Linear 1D lattice with single normalized octupole kick

$$\Delta x' = -g x^3 \quad g \equiv g_p \beta^2$$

1D Single Octupole Detuning and Resonances

$$\Delta x' = -gx^3 \quad g \equiv g_p \beta^2$$

- Use the normalized phase space figure (using similar triangles) or Hamiltonians to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\phi &= ga^2 \sin^4(\phi) \\ &= ga^2 \left(\underbrace{\frac{3}{8}}_{\text{Amplitude-dependent detuning}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \cos(2\phi) + \frac{1}{8} \cos(4\phi)}_{\text{Resonance driving}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Amplitude-dependent detuning:
doesn't depend on phase!

Resonance driving: periodic in
betatron phase ϕ

- Useful (?) trick:

$$\sin^n(\phi) = \left(\frac{e^{i\phi} - e^{-i\phi}}{2i} \right)^n = \frac{1}{(2i)^n} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} (-1)^{(m+1)} (e^{i\phi})^{n-m} (e^{-i\phi})^m$$

binomial expansion

Octupole Detuning Amplitude Dependence

- $\Delta\phi$ is an additional phase advance every turn
 - Dependent on **amplitude** a but not dependent on **phase** ϕ
- This is fundamentally a shift in the tune
 - Base (small-amplitude) tune is defined to be Q_0
 - Tune of particles at amplitude a from octupoles is

$$Q = Q_0 + \frac{3}{16\pi} g a^2$$

- Nicely first order in octupole strength g
- Turns out to be first order for quadrupoles, octupoles, dodecapoles, ... (you can see the pattern; dodecapole homework)
 - (Second order in nonlinearity strength for sextupoles, decapoles, ...)

10.2: Discrete Motion in (J, ϕ) Space

- Using action-angle space where $J \equiv a^2/2$

$$Q = Q_0 + \frac{3}{8\pi} g J$$

- We can work out the general behavior in action along with phase to find general time evolution for **well-behaved particles**:


$$J_t = J_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \cos(k\phi_t + \phi_k) \quad (10.10)$$

$$\phi_t = \phi_0 + 2\pi Q t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k \cos(k 2\pi Q t + \theta_k)$$

$u_k, v_k, \phi_k, \theta_k$ depend on nonlinearities

J no longer constant

10.2: Discrete Motion in (J, ϕ) Space




- “Smear” and Collins distortion functions (T.L. Collins, FNAL report 84/114)

What is Really Happening Here?


- Tune varies with amplitude depending on nonlinearity

$$Q = Q_0 + \frac{3}{8\pi} gJ \quad \text{for octupoles}$$

- When Q_0 is near resonance, particles with amplitude $a_{\text{resonance}}$ have resonant tunes



Large amplitude particles: tune curved below n/m , not resonant



Small amplitude particles: $Q_0 = n/m + \delta Q$ - not resonant

One-Turn Discrete “Hamiltonian”

- KAM surfaces suggest that we can write a “conserved” quantity and apply Hamiltonian dynamics to our discrete dynamical system

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial J} \quad \Delta J = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial\phi}$$

- Here H_1 is a “one-turn” discrete Hamiltonian. More generally we can include all nonlinearities:

$$H_1 = 2\pi(Q_{x0} J_x + Q_{y0} J_y) + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} J_x^{i/2} J_y^{j/2} \sin(k\phi_x + l\phi_y + \phi_{ijkl}) \quad (10.14)$$

Amplitude – dependent detuning when $k = l = 0, i$ and/or $j \neq 0$

10.3: Motion Near Half-Integer Tunes

$$H_1 = 2\pi(Q_{x0} J_x + Q_{y0} J_y) + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} J_x^{i/2} J_y^{j/2} \sin(k\phi_x + l\phi_y + \phi_{ijkl}) \quad (10.14)$$

- One-turn maps from the one-turn “Hamiltonian” are still pretty jumpy
 - The fractional part of the tunes can be big even if everything else is perturbatively small
- But we can integrate the above equation and handwave an “N-turn” map
 - Near $Q=k/N$ values, the phase advance is nearly 2π
 - All motion in N turns becomes perturbatively small

10.3: Motion Near Half-Integer Tunes

$$H_1 = 2\pi(Q_{x0} J_x + Q_{y0} J_y) + \sum_{ijkl} V_{ijkl} J_x^{i/2} J_y^{j/2} \sin(k\phi_x + l\phi_y + \phi_{ijkl}) \quad (10.14)$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} + \delta Q \quad \delta Q \ll 1$$

$$H_2 = 2\pi \delta Q J + \left[\frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\phi) + \frac{1}{8} \cos(4\phi) \right] g J^2$$

or more generally, in the presence of many octupoles

$$H_2 = \underbrace{2\pi \delta Q J}_{\text{Tune difference from } 1/2} + \underbrace{[V_0 + V_2 \cos(2\phi + \phi_2) + V_4 \cos(4\phi + \phi_4)]}_{\text{Octupole amplitude dependent detuning}} J^2$$

Tune difference
from 1/2



octupole
amplitude
dependent
detuning

Half-integer
resonance
driving

Quarter-integer
resonance
driving

Motion Near Half-Integer Tunes: Figs 10.3-4

Normalised
displacement, x



Normalised horizontal angle, x'

Can be used for slow extraction

Entire USPAS courses on injection/extraction

- <http://uspas.fnal.gov/programs/2017/niu/courses/injection-extraction.shtml>

Injection and Extraction of Beams

Instructor:

Uli Wienands, Argonne National Lab and Edu Marin-Lacoma, CERN

Purpose and Audience

This course provides an introduction to the physics and design of ring injection and extraction systems. Proton, ion, and electron systems will be covered. The course is appropriate for anyone with some background in accelerator physics and technology and with an interest in injection and extraction of beams, including operational staff.

- <http://uspas.fnal.gov/materials/17NIU/niu-injection-and-extraction.shtml>

Course Materials - NIU - June 2017



Injection and Extraction of Beams

course given by Uli Wienands, Argonne National Lab and Edu Marin-Lacoma, CERN

Updated pdf of the lecture hand-outs: [Accelerator Injection and Extraction](#)

Zipped archive of the Mad-X and Python scripts for the Mad-X injection-design exercise: [MADX Exercise files.zip](#) (Windows and Linux users can ignore the `_MACOSX` folder that will be there after unzipping the file.)

Half-Integer Slow Extraction



The Sextupole Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_3 = & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} (\beta_x J_x)^{1/2} (\beta_y J_y) S(s) \left[\underbrace{2 \cos \phi_x}_{\text{Integer resonance}} + \underbrace{\cos(\phi_x + 2\phi_y) + \cos(\phi_x - 2\phi_y)}_{\text{2D difference/sum resonances}} \right] \\
 & + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{12} (\beta_x J_x)^{3/2} S(s) \left[\underbrace{\cos(3\phi_x)}_{\text{Third-integer resonance}} + 3 \cos \phi_x \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Notice all the different resonances that the sextupole drives
 - This all comes from expansions of the Floquet transformation cosine powers into sum and difference terms
 - It drives even more resonances to higher orders in the (thankfully perturbatively small) sextupole strengths
 - Naively it feels quite remarkable that accelerators work at all!

Resonance Islands Revisited

- Todd's dissertation: E778 in the Fermilab Tevatron
- 5th order resonance islands driven to “second order” in sextupole strength
- Modern usage: resonance island extraction at CERN (Gioavanozzi slides)

